

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/266,202	03/10/1999	HARIKLIA DRIS REITZ	2950.08US02	5465
24113	7590 01/16/2002			
PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. 4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET			EXAMINER	
			LEE, KYUNG S	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55402-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(					
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•		09/266,202	REITZ ET AL.		
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Richard K. L e	2832		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sh t wi	th the correspond nce address		
THE I - Externance - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR PMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the day alent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ye statute, cause the application to become AR	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>20 August 2001</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-9 and 25-30</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-9 and 25-30</u> is/are rejecte	ed.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.			
Application	on Papers				
9) 🔲 7	he specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.			
10)[] T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection				
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required				
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		**		
•	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.			
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in Ap	oplication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action for a	priority documents have been rall Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
رة 15)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application has be Mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. (	en received. SS 120 and/or 121		
· — Attachment(		and promy under de dioid.	,		
)  Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Trac		Antian Comment	Ded of Describe Of		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants cancelled claims 31-35. Claims 1-5, 7-9 and 25-30 are pending in the current application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-5 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhargava (5,455,489) in view of Jaskie (5,442,254).

Bhargava teaches group II-VI host phosphors, including ZnO, ZnS and Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (col. 2, lines 4-32) which may be suitable for quantum contained phosphors. Bhargava teaches the claimed invention except for particles size range as claimed.

Jaskie teaches a device comprising phosphor particles having an average diameter of less than 100 nm (abstract) wherein the particle size is selected as desired. Jaskie further teaches that the specification of a desired particle range is within the skill of the art (col. 7, lines 34-40). Jaskie teaches the claimed invention except for the range of the phosphor particles.

In would have been obvious to specify a desired particle range since the specification of a desired particle range is recognized to be within the skill of the art.

Regarding claims 2-5 and 25-26, Jaskie teaches the in col. 6, lines 46-49, the particle size of approximately 5nm. Such range meets the limitation of "a diameter greater than about three times the average diameter..."

Application/Control Number: 09/266,202

Art Unit: 2832

2. Claims 1, 7-9 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iga et al. (5,770,113) in view of Jaskie.

Iga et al. teaches a varistor formed with powdered ZnO particles having the average particle size of 50 nm to 500 nm (col. 10, lines 3-35). Iga et al. teaches a stoichiometry of ZnO and ZnO<sub>2</sub>. Further, the metal oxide of Iga et al. includes Bi<sub>2</sub> O<sub>3</sub>. Iga et al. teaches the claimed invention except for the particle distribution range as claimed (claim 1).

Jaskie teaches a device comprising phosphor particles having an average diameter of less than 100 nm (abstract) wherein the particle size is selected as desired. Jaskie further teaches that the specification of a desired particle range is within the skill of the art (col. 7, lines 34-40). Jaskie teaches the claimed invention except for the range of the phosphor particles.

In would have been obvious to specify a desired particle range since the specification of a desired particle range is recognized to be within the skill of the art.

Jaskie teaches the in col. 6, lines 46-49, the particle size of approximately 5nm. Such range meets the limitation of "a diameter greater than about three times the average diameter..."

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/20/2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that "Since Bhargava patent does not teach or suggest zinc oxide, it is not relevant to the present rejection." The examiner respectfully disagrees. Kittle's (Introduction to Solid State Physics, submitted by Applicants) II-VI compound would include zinc sulfide, cadmium sulfide and zinc oxide (copy of a period table enclosed).

Application/Control Number: 09/266,202

Art Unit: 2832

Applicants argue that Jaskie does not describe a range of particle sizes. The examiner disagrees. Jaskie teaches a device comprising phosphor particles having an average diameter of less than 100 nm (abstract) wherein the particle size is selected as desired. Jaskie teaches in col. 6, lines 46-49, the particle size of approximately 5nm. Such range meets the limitation of "a diameter greater than about three times the average diameter..."

Applicants argue that Jaskie patent "had failed to establish an appropriate approach for the production" since he employs "wet filtration" in contrast to "Applicants' particle production approach ..." Pending claims 1-7, 7-9 and 25-30, however, do not recite any production steps nor any specific methods.

### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/266,202

Art Unit: 2832

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard K. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-9060. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (703) 308-7619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

January 14, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER